

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US04/34856

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(7) : H04N 7/12

US CL : 375/240.02, 240.08, 240.1, 240.12, 240.25, 240.26

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 375/240.02, 240.08, 240.1, 240.12, 240.25, 240.26; 705/51

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)
EAST

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5,852,664 A (Iverson et al) 22 December 1998, fig. 3, col. 6, line 10 - col. 7, line 13.	1
T	US 2005/0041955 A1 (Beuque) 24 February 2005, paragraph 0070.	1

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T"

later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X"

document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y"

document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&"

document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

29 April 2005 (29.04.2005)

Date of mailing of the international search report

10 JUN 2005

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

Vu Le

Telephone No. 703-305-4700

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US04/34856

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. ☒ Claims Nos.: 12-27,34,40,43,49-53,56-64,72-75,78-79,83-104,108-110
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
Please See Continuation Sheet

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
 2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
 3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
 4. ☒ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1
- Remark on Protest ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

BOX III. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LACKING

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid.

Group 1, claim(s) 1, drawn to a method of encoding based on field specific selection.

Group 2, claim(s), 2-11 drawn to a method of block encoding with correlation determination.

Group 3, claim(s) 28-33, 35-36, drawn to method of encoding based on identification of a target codeword.

Group 4, claim(s) 65-67, drawn to a method of encoding with selective coding mode based on a target rate/distortion criteria.

Group 5, claim(s) 68-71, drawn to a method of encoding involving selecting from among a plurality of different encodings.

Group 6, claim(s) 76-77, 81-82, drawn to a transmission method that involves format conversion.

Group 7, claim(s) 105-106, drawn to an arrangement of sensors in a grid wherein information provided by the sensors are encoded, then subsequently processed and compressed.

Group 8, claim(s) 107, 109, 111-114, drawn to a method of encoding that reencodes data from a plurality of decoders i.e., a transcoding method.

The inventions listed as Groups 1-8 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical features exhibit in each of the groups 1 through 7 are distinct and may operate independently from one another in any encoding environment.